

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,899	07/30/2003	Fujio Akahane	Q76765	6246
23373	7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC	CRANE, DANIEL C		
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE,	, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037	3725		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

58
<i>/</i> //

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/629,899	AKAHANE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel C Crane	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 January 2005</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-33 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 8-20, 25, 29-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6,21-24 and 26-28 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3725

PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Upon further review of the art of record, the following action is herein made of record.

The action is non-final in light of the fact that the application of art against the claims was not made as a result of applicant's amendment.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS ON FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The subject matter is contrary to the features claimed within claims 1 and 18, the claims from which claims 31 and 32, respectively, depend. Accordingly, the independent claims specify two dies, a second die and a third die, that cannot be read on a single die. Accordingly, the claimed subject matter of claims 31 and 32 is contrary to the claimed features and is therefore indefinite.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 18, 19, 20, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schey (4,400,965). See Figures 4-10 where the claimed process is shown. In this regard, note that Schey shows an upper die 26, 31, a first lower die 27, a second lower die 34 and a third lower die 49. The unpenetrated hole is shown in Figure 6 where the first die 31 engages the metal board 15 and shapes the unpenetrated hole using the first lower die 27 and a second lower die 34. The flat portion on the unpenetrated hole is formed by the second lower die 34. The unpenetrated hole is punched by the upper die 31 in Figure 9 while the flat portion is

Application/Control Number: 10/629,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

supported by a third lower die 49. The upper face is supported by the upper die 26. The upper die 26, 31 is provided with an opening or "draft" to receive the upper die 31.

Claim 8-16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schey (4,400,965). Forming a plurality of holes in a one step process using the hole forming procedures taught by Schey would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in light of the fact that Schey's subject matter is directed to hole forming in heat exchanger headers. Such would merely be a duplication of the operation. The sizing would be dependent upon particular use variations and would not affect the overall process. Removing burrs is a widely known manufacturing finishing operation and would have been obvious in Schey so as to provide the finished product with a final configuration.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 15, 18, 19, 20, 25, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo (4,245,491). See Figures 3(II) and 3(III) where the upper die 3, 4 cooperates with a second/third lower die 1 so as to produce an unpenetrated hole (Figure 3(II)) while producing a flat portion on the protrusion followed by a step of punching the unpenetrated hole with the upper die 4 while supporting the flat portion in the second/third lower die (Figure 3(III)).

INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 17 and 33 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,899 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 4, 6, 21-24 and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

INQUIRIES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4516.

The examiner's office hours are 6:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday through Friday. The examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Derris Banks, can be reached at (571) 272-4419.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted directly to Group 3700 by

facsimile transmission at all times. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any

transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Group 3725

Facsimile Center number is (703) 872-9306.

DCCrane March 9, 2005 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3725